IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7114 OF 2005

Shaunak Choksi & Anr. .. Applicants.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. .. Respondents.

None for the applicants.
Mrs.M.H.Mhatre A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 19TH JUNE, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicants in this application under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure are seeking quashing of process issued against them by the learned 21st Metropolitan Magistrate, Bandra Court, Mumbai in case No.956/S/2002. The applicants have approached this court directly without exhausting the efficacious remedy of the revision under section 397(2) before the Sessions Court against the impugned order. This Court in **V.K.Jain and Others Vs. Pratap V. Padode and Anr. 2005(3) Mh.L.J.778** while dealing with similar application considered several judgments of the Apex Court mainly consisting of the judgment in **Madhu Limaye Vs. State of Maharashtra (1997) 4 SCC 551, Pepsi Foods Ltd. Vs. Special Judicial**

Magistrate and Others 1998(1) Mh.L.J. (SC) 599, Thansingh Nathmal Vs. The Superintendent of Taxes Dubri and Others AIR 1964 SC 1419 and held that the order issuing process is not an interlocutory order and hence the revision against such order is maintainable. Keeping the well settled position in law in view, this application is disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file revision before the appropriate Sessions Court against the order impugned in the instant proceedings. If such revision is filed same may be considered in accordance with law.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)